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## Content

## Hungarian Method

Assignment Problem

## Hungarian Method

- Degenerated problems cannot be solved easily by Streamlined Simplex Method
- Hungarian Method
- The Hungarian method has got its name from Harold W. Kuhn, who read Dénes König's book where the basic idea - Jenő Egerváry's theorem was mentioned in a footnote.


## Matrix Reduction

- The order:
- Red
- Green

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  |

## Matrix Reduction

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 200 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 80 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 130 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 30 | 210 | 60 | 80 | 120 | \( |
| ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 80 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 130 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 30 | 10 | 60 | 80 | 120 | $\searrow$ |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 130 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 30 | 10 | 60 | 80 | 40 | $\searrow$ |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 60 | 80 | 40 | $\searrow$ |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 | 0 | 50 | 40 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 30 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 | 0 | 50 | 40 |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Initial Solution

| $c_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $0^{200}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | $0^{80}$ | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 | $0^{80}$ | 5 | 40 |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 2 | $0^{60}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Hungarian method - Covering system

- Discrepancy: the remaining possible flow in each row and column, after the programming method
- Goal of the coverage step is to cover all of the zeros, with the minimum possible number of lines
- Cover all the columns, where the discrepancy is zero


## Covering system

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 40 |
| 4 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 60 |  | 0 | 0 |

## Hungarian method - Covering system

- Whether the uncovered zero's row's discrepancy is zero
- Choose the non-covered zeros
- Cover their rows
- Uncover some columns to avoid double covered zeros
- Star the double covered zeros
- Whether the uncovered zero's row's discrepancy is not zero
- Zeros cannot be covered to fulfill the rules of the covering system
- Repairing path is need to be found


## Covering system

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $0^{200}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 |  | 5 | 40 |
| 4 | $0{ }^{30}$ | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Covering system

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $0^{200}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 | $0{ }^{80}$ | 5 | 40 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Covering system

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $0{ }^{200}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 3 | $0^{10}$ | 2 |  | 5 | 40 |
| 4 | * 30 |  | * 60 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |

## Hungarian method - discrepancy is 0

- The minimum of the uncovered elements have to be chosen
- Have to be extracted from the uncovered elements
- Have to be added to the twice covered elements


## Previous matrix

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## New matrix

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

## Initial Solution

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

## Covering System

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 20 |  |

## Covering System

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | * 200 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | $0^{10}$ |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | $0{ }^{30}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 |  | 20 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |

## Covering System

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | * 200 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | 10 | * 60 | 60 |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 4 | $0{ }^{30}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 |  | 20 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |

## Repairing path

- $Z_{0}$ : the uncovered zero element
- $Z_{1}$ : starred zero element in $Z_{0}$ 's column
- $Z_{2}$ : unstarred zero element in $Z_{1}$ 's row
- Continue this method, until the chosen unstarred zero is in the column, where the discrepancy is larger than 0
- Then choose the minimum of the discrepancies and the starred zeros' flows (now 20)
- All of the starred cells must be decreased by the minimum, while the unstarred zeros must be increased by this value, then all of the coverage lines must be deleted


## Repairing path

> - $Z_{0}$ : cell 43
> - $Z_{1}$ : cell 33
> - $Z_{2}$ : cell 32
> - $Z_{3}$ : cell 12
> - $Z_{4}$ : cell 14

## Optimal solution

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 4 | $0^{20}$ | 30 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Alternate optimum - Repairing path



## Alternate optimum - Optimal solution

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 4 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 40 |

## Alternate optimum - Covering System

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 20 |  |

## Alternate optimum - Covering System

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $0^{200}$ | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | * 10 | * 60 | 60 |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 | $0^{40}$ | 20 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |

## Alternate optimum - Covering System

|  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$

## Alternate optimum - Repairing path

- $Z_{0}$ : cell 43
- $Z_{1}$ : cell 33
- $Z_{2}$ : cell 34

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 200 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 |
| 3 |  | * 10 | * 60 | 60 |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 |  | 20 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |

## Alternate optimum - Optimal solution

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 4 | $0^{30}$ | 4 | $0^{20}$ | 30 | $0^{80}$ | 40 | 00.

## Conclusion



## Content

## Hungarian Method

## Assignment Problem

## Assignment method

- Aim: choose an optimal assignment of $n$ men to $n$ jobs
- Numerical ratings are given for each man's performance on each job
- The investigated process should be investigated rather from a performance-like point of view, the objective function might be a maximize function

$$
c_{i j}^{\prime}=\max _{i, j} c_{i j}-c_{i j} \forall i, j
$$

- The assignment problem is a special case of the transportation problem, with two constraints

$$
-a_{i}=b_{j}=1 \forall i, j
$$

$-x_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array} \forall i, j\right.$

## Linear Programming Method

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min Z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{i j} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}=1 \forall i \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i j}=1 \forall j \\
x_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array} \forall i, j\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example problem

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 |

## Feasible Methods

- As the assignment problem is a degenerated transportation problem, the most conveniant way to solve, is the Hungarian method
- Two approaches:
- Discrepancy method (as a ,classic Hungarian method')
- Signing method (special method for the assignment problems)


## Matrix reduction

- Same as the Hungarian method
- The sum of the minimum of each row's (5), and at the second step the sum of the minimum of each column's (2) summary (7) is considered as a lower boundary of the optimal solution
- The order:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 5 | 1 $0 \quad 0$ | $2 \begin{gathered}3 \\ 2 \quad 1\end{gathered}$ | $3{ }^{4}$ | 1 |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 1 \quad 0 \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 7 $6 \quad 5$ | $0^{1}$ | 1 |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3 \quad 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 0 | $1 \begin{gathered}2 \\ 100\end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 3 \quad 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 2 \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{8} 8$ | 2 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |

- Red
- Green


## Programming

- Feasible cell: $c_{i j}=0$
- Tied elements: $x_{i j}=1$
- If there are not any starred zeros in the column or the row of the chosen feasible cell, then we star it
- Starred zeros (the tied elements)
- Non-starred feasible cells

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Programming

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Coverage

- The coverage step is also the same as in the transportation problem
- Those programmed cells (starred zeros - cells with red background) need to be covered where the discrepancy is zero
- Similarly to the previously presented modell in the repairing section the starred and the unstarred zeros must be changed
- Special method for assignment problem


## Labelling method

- Goal: create a covering system
- The first step is to label the row, where there are no tied elements, marked by a black '-' sign
- Find the unstarred zero in the marked row (there must be at least one)
- The column where the unstarred zero is located (in the marked row) must be labelled with the number of the row
- Rows of starred zeros (cells with red background) located in a labelled column must be marked by the number of the column (indicated by green)
- Must be continued until all the rows and columns have marked, or there is no more unstarred zero in the starred zero's row
- Two available results
- Labelling ends in row
- Labelling ends in column


## Labelling method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | - |
| sign |  |  |  |  |  |

## Labelling method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | - |
| sign |  | 4 |  |  |  |

## Labelling method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | - |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ |  | 4 |  |  |  |

## Labelling ends in row

- Have to cover
- Signed columns
- Unsigned rows
- The minimum of the uncovered elements is need to be chosen
- Extract from the uncovered elements
- Add to the twice

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 5 | 0 | covered elements

## Labelling ends in row

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 5 |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - |
| sign |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Latter steps

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |

## Labelling ends in column

- Covering system cannot be formed
- Have to define where the labelling process ends
- The label number's row (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ row) must be chosen
- The starred zero must be modified to unstarred and vice versa
- So the last column's (where the labeling ends) unstarred zero will be starred
- In this case one of the unstarred zeros in the '-' labelled row can be starred

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - |
| $\operatorname{sign}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |

- Technically a reparing path is found


## Labelling ends in column

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

## Solution

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 6 |  |  |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |  |  |

## Reminder

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 5 |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

Labelling method

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |  |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 |  | 7 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

## Alternative solution - Discrepancy method

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 |

## Conclusion

## Transportation method

Transportation method
Streamlined simplex method

| Northwest Corner Path | Dantzig method | Vogel method | Discrepancy method |  | Signing method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programming | Programming | Defining differency | Matrix reduction |  |  |  |
|  |  | Programming | Programming |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Finding the covering system |  | Labelling |  |
| Distribution method |  |  | Discrepancy is 0 | Discrepancy is not 0 | Ends in row | Ends in column |
| Finding the polygon, based upon the potential system |  |  | Matrix transformation | Finding reparing path | Covering system | Finding reparing path |
| Reprogramming the flows based upon the found polygon |  |  |  | Reprogramming flows | Matrix transformation | Reprogramming flows |
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